

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,237	YAMANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benny Lee	2817

All Participants:

(1) Benny Lee.
 (2) Daniel Geselowitz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 10-16, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend cls 1, 20 by using the term --further-- to clarify the 45 deg face, which would not be covered by the previously recited superconductive layers. Furthermore, it was agreed that claims 6, 10-16, all of which refer to the fig. 3 embodiment would be canceled as to avoid inconsistency with the 45 deg face embodiment..